

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

			wasiiiigtoi	1, 0.0. 20201	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ΙA	TORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/669,31	3 07/08/96	KANEMITSU		T	
- FELIX J D'AMBROSIO		QM12/0901 7	٦ [EXAMINER	
			•	LARSON	, L
JONES TUL	LAR & COOPER		. [ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
P O BOX 2266 EADS STATION				3725	•
ARLINGTON	VA 22202			DATE MAILED:	09/01/99

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks



Office Action Summary

Application No. 08/669,313

Applicant(s)

Toshiaki Kanemitsu et al.

Examiner

Lowell A. Larson

Group Art Unit 3725



Isposition of Claims Is/are pending in the application. Of the above, claim(s) S Is/are withdrawn from consideration. Is/are withdrawn from consideration. Is/are withdrawn from consideration. Is/are allowed. Is/are allowed. Is/are allowed. Is/are objected. Is/are objected to. Is/are objected to. Is/are objected to. Is/are objected to. Is/are objected to restriction or election requirement. Of the drawing(s) filed on	SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES				
is/are pending in the application. Claim(s) 5 to 8					
is/are pending in the application. Claim(s) 5 to 8	_ TOURS OF INTO THE TOUR THE PRODUCT OF THE TOUR				
isposition of Claims Claim(s) 5 to 8		• 6			
is/are pending in the application. Of the above, claim(s) 5 to 8 is/are withdrawn from consideration. Of the above, claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. It is/are allowed. It is/are rejected. It claim(s) 5 and 6 is/are rejected. It claim(s) 7 is/are objected to. Claims are subject to restriction or election requirement. It polication Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948. The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner. The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. In oath or declaration is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d). Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority documents have been received. In received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) In received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *Certified copies not received: Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).	• •	0			
isposition of Claims Claim(s)		o(s)			
Isposition of Claims Is/are pending in the application. Of the above, claim(s) S Is/are withdrawn from consideration. Is/are withdrawn from consideration. Is/are withdrawn from consideration. Is/are allowed. Is/are allowed. Is/are allowed. Is/are objected. Is/are objected to. Is/are objected to. Is/are objected to. Is/are objected to. Is/are objected to restriction or election requirement. Of the drawing(s) filed on	□ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892				
isposition of Claims Claim(s)	Attachment(s)				
isposition of Claims Claim(s)	☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priorit	y under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).			
isposition of Claims Claim(s) 5 to 8	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
isposition of Claims Claim(s)	$\hfill \square$ received in this national stage application from the	International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).			
isposition of Claims Claim(s) 5 to 8	\square received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Nun	nber)			
isposition of Claims Claim(s)	☐ received.				
isposition of Claims Claim(s)	☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of	f the priority documents have been			
isposition of Claims Claim(s)	<u> </u>	under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).			
isposition of Claims Claim(s) 5 to 8 is/are pending in the application. Of the above, claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) 8 is/are allowed. Claim(s) 5 and 6 is/are rejected. Claim(s) 7 is/are objected to. Claims are subject to restriction or election requirement. Implication Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948. The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner. The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner. The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
isposition of Claims Claim(s) _ 5 to 8	$\hfill\Box$ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.				
isposition of Claims Claim(s) 5 to 8	\square The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
isposition of Claims Claim(s) _ 5 to 8	☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is 🗖 approved 🗖 disapproved.			
isposition of Claims Claim(s) 5 to 8	☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are object	ed to by the Examiner.			
isposition of Claims Claim(s) 5 to 8					
isposition of Claims					
isposition of Claims	☐ Claims	are subject to restriction or election requirement.			
isposition of Claims					
isposition of Claims Claim(s) is/are pending in the application. Of the above, claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) s is/are allowed.					
isposition of Claims Claim(s) 5 to 8 is/are pending in the application. Of the above, claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
isposition of Claims X Claim(s) 5 to 8 is/are pending in the application.					
isposition of Claims	Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
		is/are pending in the application.			
7 CFR 1.136(a).	Disposition of Claims				
	X Claim(s) 5 to 8 Of the above, claim(s) X Claim(s) 8 X Claim(s) 5 and 6 X Claim(s) 7	is/are withdrawn from considerat is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to.			
	in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935	5 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.			
in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire		formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed			
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.	This action is FINAL.				
in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.	Responsive to communication(s) filed on August 16, 1995				

Application/Control Number: 08/669,313 Page 2

Art Unit: 3725

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Prosecution Application

- 1. The request filed on August 16, 1999 for a Continued Prosecution Application (CPA) under 37 CFR 1.53(d) based on parent Application No. 08/669,313 is acceptable and a CPA has been established. An action on the CPA follows.
- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kanemitsu et al.
The flange of the Figure 4 stepped blank is roller-shaped in the manner required by this
claim. See column 2, lines 65 and 66.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kanemitsu et al.

To incline the flange of the Figure 4 blank during shaping is considered to be merely an obvious exercise of designer's choice merely depending on the characteristics desired in the product, and not a patentable distinction absent a disclosure of criticality in the solution of stated problems with the provision of any specific blank profile.

Application/Control Number: 08/669,313 Page 3

Art Unit: 3725

Claim Objections

5. Claim 7 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate of Claim 8. When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is proper after allowing one claim to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k).

Conclusion

- 6. Claim 8 is allowed.
- 7. This is a CONTINUING PROSECUTION APPLICATION of applicant's earlier Application No. 08/669,313. All claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the earlier application and could have been finally rejected on the grounds and art of record in the next Office action if they had been entered in the earlier application. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL even though it is a first action in this case. See MPEP § 706.07(b). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

Application/Control Number: 08/669,313

Art Unit: 3725

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no, however,

event will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of

this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner 8.

should be directed to the undersigned Examiner whose telephone number is (703) 308-1873 and

fax number is (703) 305-9835 (draft papers) or (703) 305-3579 (formal papers).

Page 4

LAL

August 31, 1999